## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Arturo Olivan-Xaltipa <sub>Defendant</sub>	Case No. 1:17-cr-00201-JTN
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Acthat the defendant be detained pending trial.	t, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Findings	of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U a federal offense a state or local offense that wou existed – that is	S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of all have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) which the prison term is 10 years or more.	4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death of	or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	ars or more is prescribed in:
	*
U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	icted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 al offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
a minor victim	
the possession or use of a firearm or dest a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 225	
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	e defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the day offense described in finding (1).	te of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption to person or the community. I further find that defendant has no	
Alternative Findi	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	
for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the s	
Alternative Findi	•
✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	93 (5)
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the s	afety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statement of the Rea	asons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the determination of the evidence that:  1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest de 2. Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the continuing detention to the continuing detention.	tention at this time.
o. Dolongant may oming the loods of the continuing determine to the ot	sare attention official the officialistations officingo.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 27, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	